Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 GUATEM 06086 061922Z

67

ACTION ARA-10

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-03 H-01 INR-05 L-01

NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15

USIA-06 OES-02 /054 W

----- 010005

R 061845Z NOV 74 FM AMEMBASSY GUATEMALA TO SECSTATE WASHDC 4915

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE GUATEMALA 6086

FROM POLLACK FOR BOWDLER

PASS ALL ARA DIPLOMATIC POSTS

E.O. 11652: N/A TAGS: PFOR, GT

SUBJECT: WORKING GROUP ON SCIENCE AND TRANSFER OF TECHNOLOGY

BEGIN SUMMARY. KEY FACT THAT EMERGED FROM FOREIGN OFFICE DISCUSSIONS IS THAT GUATEMALA EXPECTS GUATEMALAN ORGANIZATION PROPOSAL TO BE ON AGENDA OF SANTIAGO MEETING OF SUBGROUP IV. ACTING FONMIN OBIOLS SAW SIMILARITIES BETWEEN US THINKING AND GUATEMALAN PROPOSAL AND THOUGH THEY COULD BE EASILY RECONCILED, END SUMMARY.

1. CHARGE ANDREWS, POLLACK AND ECONOMIC OFFICER JAMES HOGAN MET IN MORNING WITH GUSTAVO SANTISO, CHIEF, INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS AND VICE FONMIN OBIOLS. POLLACK MADE FULL PRESENTATION ON ORGANIZATION TALKING POINTS TO SANTISO AND COVERED HIGHLIGHTS OF TALKING POINTS OF US COORDINATING OFFICE. PRESENTATION OF FINANCES CONSISTED ONLY OF US VIEWS ON SEPARATE FUND AND STATEMENT OF GENERAL RECOGNITION THAT ADDITIONAL MONIES MIGHT BE NECESSARY. SANTISO RESPONDED WITH STATEMENT ON GUATEMALAN PROPOSAL PRESENTED AT SUB-GROUP II MEETING IN GUATEMALA. HE DESCRIBED ATTITUDE OF OTHER LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 GUATEM 06086 061922Z

DELEGATIONS AS SYMPATHETIC AND SAID THAT THEY HAD PROMISED

TO PRESENT THE PROPOSAL TO THEIR GOVERNMENTS AND THAT IT WOULD BE DISCUSSED AT THE SANTIAGO MEETING OF SUB-GOUP IV. HE PROVIDED NO REACTION TO US PRESENTATION BUT SAID HE WOULD DO SO NEXT WEEK AFTER CONSULTATION WIT OTHER CONCERNED OFFICIALS.

- 2. SEPARATE SESSION WITH ACTING FONMIN OBIOLS LASTED ONLY TWENTY MINUTES AND HIGHLIGHTS ONLY OF ORGANIZATION PAPER PRESENTED. OBIOLS RESPONSE TO PRESENTATION WAS POSITIVE AND HE SEEMED TO THINK IT WAS VIABLE. HE SUGGESTED THAT IT WAS COMPATIBLE WITH THE GUATEMALAN PROPOSAL AND THAT IT SHOULD BE POSSIBLE TO RECONCILE THE TWO. HE DESCRIBED AT LENGTH HIS ROLE FOR MANY YEARS AS HEAD OF GEOGRAPHICAL INSTITUTE IN GUATEMALA WHICH IN HIS TERMS WAS SUCCESSFULLY ENGAGED IN TECHNOLOGICAL TRANSFER FROM THE US. HE THOUGHT THAT THE SPECIALIZED INSTITUTES INCORPORATED IN THE GUATEMALAN PROPOSAL COULD PERFORM THE SAME FUNCTION. AS WAS THE CASE WITH THE PAN AMERICAN GEOGRAPHICAL INSTITUTE, OBIOLS SEEMED TO BE SUGGESTING THAT ANY NEW TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER ORGANIZATION COULD BE WITHIN THE OAS SYSTEM YET OPERATE AUTONOMOUSLY.
- 3. FOLLOWING LUNCHEON HOSTED BY CHARGE ANDREWS WHICH ALL GUATEMALAN PRINCIPALS ATTENDED, POLLACK VISITED INCAP FOR DISCUSSION WITH DIRECTOR MOISES BEHAR AND DR. GUILLERMO ARROYAVE, DIRECTOR OF THE DIVISION OF PHYSIOLOGICAL CHEMISTRY. DISCUSSION WHILE INTERESTING NOT IMMEDIATELY PERTINENT TO MFM INITIATIVE. EMBASSY PREPARING MEMCON WHICH WILL BE SENT TO THE DEPARTMENT SHORTLY.
- 4. ROCAP (JAMES RILEY) HOSTED EVENING RECEPTION DURING WHICH PRIVATE DISCUSSION HELD WITH SUB-DIRECTOR ICAITI GABRIEL DENGO. ABBREVIATED BUT STANDARD PRESENTATION OF TALKING POINTS MADE. HIS RESPONSE INDICATED THAT GUATEMALAN PROPOSAL PREPARED PRINCIPALLY BY NORIEGO AND AGUIRRE, ICAITI DIRECTOR AND NO. 2 MAN RESPECTIVELY WHO WERE UNABLE TO ATTEND RECEPTION OR OTHERWISE MEET WITH POLLACK. DENGO REPORTS NORIEGA SOMEWHAT FLEXIBLE BUT AGUIRRE STILL STRONGLY ATTACHED TO THIS PROPOSAL. DENGO SAID ICAITI WAS DIVIDED WITH HIMSELF TAKING NEGATIVE VIEW BECAUSE OF PROPOSAL'S MAGNITUDE AND HIS FEAR IT WOULD COMPETE WITH AND POSSIBLY ABSORB ICAITI. HE ALSO PHILOSOPHIZED ON THE DANGERS OF LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 GUATEM 06086 061922Z

CREATING ANOTHER LARGE BUREACURACY, CITING BAD EXPERIENCES WITH OAS. DENGO SAID HE WOULD REPORT CONVERSATION TO NORIEGA AND AGUIRRE AND INFORM ROCAP AND HOGAN NEXT WEEK OF THEIR REACTIONS.

5. COMMENT: ALTHOUGH SANTISO HAD SOME BACKGROUND ON RANGE OF WORKING GROUP ACTIVITIES IT IS CLEAR THAT PRINCIPAL GUATEMALAN INPUT IS PREPARED BY ICAITI OFFICIALS NORIEGA AND AGUIRRE.

ALTHOUGH GUATEMALAN PROPOSAL IS WORDY AND GRANDOISE, NEVERTHELESS THERE MAY BE AN ANALOGY BETWEEN THE WORK CONTEMPLATED BY AD HOC SPECIAL GROUPS IN US PRESENTATION AND SPECIALIZED INSTITUTES IN GUATEMALAN PROPOSAL. KEY TO SUCCESS IN SANTIAGO MAY LIE IN DEVELOPING PROPOSAL THAT MEETS THE ESSENTIAL US OBJECTIVES AND YET APPEARS TO BE BASED ON GUATEMALAN AND MEXICAN PROPOSALS AND TO ACCOMMODATE BRAZILIAN THINKING AS PRESENTED TO BOWDLER IN BRASILIA DURING HIS VISIT.

DECONTROL AFTER 11/6/76. ANDREWS

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: SCIENTIFIC COOPERATION, MEETING AGENDA, COMMITTEE MEETINGS, TECHNOLOGICAL EXCHANGES

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 06 NOV 1974 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED

Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: smithrj
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974GHATEM06086

Document Number: 1974GUATEM06086 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: N/A Film Number: D740319-0706 From: GUATEMALA Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path: ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19741167/aaaacexj.tel Line Count: 126 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION ARA

Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: n/a

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: smithrj

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 10 SEP 2002

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <10 SEP 2002 by boyleja>; APPROVED <11 MAR 2003 by smithrj>

Review Markings:

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: WORKING GROUP ON SCIENCE AND TRANSFER OF TECHNOLOGY BEGIN SUMMARY. KEY FACT THAT EMERGED FROM FOREIGN

TAGS: PFOR, GT, US To: STATE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005